### **PROFORMA**

# REFERRAL OF A KEY DECISION TO THE SCRUTINY AND OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

For the attention of: Victoria Lower, Democratic Services & Scrutiny

e-mail to

<u>Victoria.lower@croydon.gov.uk</u> and <u>cliona.may@croydon.gov.uk</u>

Meeting: Cabinet

Meeting Date: 17<sup>th</sup> May 2021

Agenda Item No: 7

Key decision 2221CAB

### Reasons for referral:

- i) The decision is outside of the Policy Framework: Yes
- ii) The decision is inconsistent with the budget: Yes
- iii) The decision is inconsistent with another Council Policy:
- iv) Other: Please specify:

## The decision is outside of the policy framework

"Our children and young people thrive and reach their full potential" is a stated priority of the council. During the consultation, residents will have to give their view on which – if any – of the three options presented ensure this is achieved. At the moment, the current proposal does not give residents sufficient information to do so:

- They cannot be sure what library opening hours will be and whether that suits the needs of children, young people and parents.
- They can give no measure of confidence that two of the three options are meaningful. The council is vague on what efficiencies would have to be found by a contractor under 6.5.
- Residents and community groups want to understand the human cost of each options. The current options are vague around job losses.

## The decision is inconsistent with the budget

Option 6.7 factors in a saving to the council because they will no longer have to pay business rates on the buildings. However, it is not clear:

- 1) Whether the community group would have to pay the business rate; or
- 2) The business rate is not paid. If so, the council will make a loss elsewhere and the option will not achieve the saving outlined.

### The outcome desired:

- 1. To give scrutiny confidence that residents can meaningful assess what the impact of these options will be to the community
- 2. To give scrutiny confidence that the community groups will be able to meaningfully scope whether or not they could partner with the council to deliver library services
- 3. To give scrutiny confidence that residents have enough information to judge whether option 6.5 will deliver the necessary savings.
- 4. To give scrutiny confidence that delivering option 6.7 does not create shortfall elsewhere in the council's finances.

# Information required to assist the Scrutiny and Overview Committee to consider the referral:

- Details of library running costs (broken down per library)
- More information on job losses
- A copy of the analysis conducted on the efficiencies carried out by Greenwich Leisure Limited
- Information on business rates income and the impact on the council's finances
- Evidence that the council has scoped potential commercial/community partners and believes these options are meaningful.

Signed: Gareth Streeter		
	Date: 18/05/202	1
Member of		Committee